

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
<u>077144,70</u>	M 01712788	CHECK	7.	-	C-391	
PITNEY BO	HOTES 50-01 DWES INC., W CT 06926-0	ORLD HEADQUARTERS	٦	MILLER MILLER	XAMINER JIE y G	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				DATE MAILED:	04/29/88	

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

	'hin a	application has been examined Responsive to communication filed on 1/12/88	-				
A sh	ortene	ed statutory period for response to this action is set to expire	from the date of this letter.				
Part L 3. 5.		THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:  Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892.  Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449  Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474  THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:  Notice re Patent D  Notice of informal	rawing, PTO-948. Patent Application, Form PTO-152				
Part 1	ı	SUMMARY OF ACTION					
1.	×	Claims 1, 5, 6, 8, 11-14, 16, 20, 21	are pending in the application.				
		Of the above, claims	are withdrawn from consideration.				
2.		Claims	have been cancelled.				
3.		Claims	are allowed.				
4.	$\succeq$	Claims 1, 5, 6, 8, 11-14, 16, 20 21	are rejected.				
5.		Claims	are objected to.				
6.		Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement.					
7.		This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes until such time as allowable subject matter is indicated.					
8.		Allowable subject matter having been indicated, formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.					
9.		The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on These drawings are acceptable; not acceptable (see explanation).					
10.		The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on has (have) been approved by the examiner. disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).					
11.		The proposed drawing correction, filed, has been approved the Patent and Trademark Office no longer makes drawing changes. It is now applicant's response corrected. Corrections <u>MUST</u> be effected in accordance with the instructions set forth on the EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES", PTO-1474.	onsibility to ensure that the drawings are				
12.		Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has	been received not been received				
13.		been filed in parent application, serial no; filed on; filed on	cution as to the merits is closed in				
14.		Other					

EXAMINER'S ACTION

PTOL-326 (Rev. 7 - 82)

Art Unit 216

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless-

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1, 5, 6, 12, 8, 14, 11, 13, 16, 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yamada.

Figures 7 and 13 of Yamada disclose a smoothing of the edges of intermeshing different size dots.

Applicant's arguments filed January 12, 1988
 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

Both Figures 7 and 13 of Yamada disclose a smoothing of the edges by intermeshing different size dots, especially the upper part of the number "1" in Figure 13.

Consider how rough (less smooth) the outline would be if the same size larger dots were used in the upper part of the number 1.

Please note on page 3 of the decision by the Board that, "we consider Fig. 7 of Yamada to teach one method of making the edges of a character "smoothed" by intermeshing dot sizes within the scope of the claims.".

Therefore, I do not believe that the Board's statement about the lack of the term "intermeshing" means that by amending the claims to include the term would make the claims allowable as stated by the applicants.

3. This is a continuation of applicant's earlier application S.N. 06/472,559. All rejected claims are

Serial No. 144,704

Art Unit 216

drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds or art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application.

Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP 706.07(b).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). The practice of automatically extending the shortened statutory period an additional month upon the filing of a timely first response to a final rejection has been discontinued by the Office. See 1021 TMOG 35.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 CFR 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Miller/vsh

(703) 557-5080

04-21-88

GEORGE H. MILLER, JR. SENIOR PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 216

George H. Willer D.